

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/693,207 10/23/2003 Ru-rong Wu Hsiao 2311 87165755-002001 06/17/2005 **EXAMINER** FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER BARRY, CHESTER T 1300 I Street N. W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Wahington, DC 20005-3315 1724

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

······································			$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ $\iota \!$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/693,207	WU HSIAO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chester T. Barry	1724		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	nppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address ·	. **	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	cation.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	March 2004.			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ The	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·	• • •	` '	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner, Note the attache	a Office Action of form P10-15	۷.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage)	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1 – 10, 12 - 19, drawn to a wastewater treating method, classified in class 21, subclass 601+.

II. Claim 11, drawn to a textile dyeing composition, classified in class 8, subclass 543+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Inquiry: Our file contains 24 items of non-patent literature related to bioflocculents that were filed on 23 August 2004. Did applicants intend to file these documents in this file? They do not appear to have been accompanied by a cover letter or IDS. Please assist us in determining whether the PTO inadvertently placed them in

Application/Control Number: 10/693,207

Art Unit: 1724

Page 3

this (the wrong) file. If applicants' filed them, please list them on an PTO 1449 to submit them properly for consideration. Thank you for your assistance.

CHESTERT. BARRY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

571-272-1152